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#### Abstract

The Knuth Twin Dragon is a compact subset of the plane with fractal boundary of Hausdorff dimension $s=(\log \lambda) /(\log \sqrt{2}), \lambda^{3}=\lambda^{2}+2$. Although the intersection with a generic line has Hausdorff dimension $s-1$, we prove that this does not occur for lines with rational parameters. We further describe the intersection of the Twin Dragon with the two diagonals as well as with various axis parallel lines.


## 1. Introduction

We investigate the intersections of the Knuth Twin Dragon with rational lines. Let $\alpha=-1+i$, then

$$
\mathcal{K}=\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_{k}}{\alpha^{k}}: d_{k} \in\{0,1\}\right\}
$$

is the Knuth Twin Dragon. The Hausdorff dimension of its boundary $\partial K$ is $\mathfrak{s}=\frac{\log \lambda}{\log \sqrt{2}} \approx 1.5236$, where $\lambda$ is the real number satisfying $\lambda^{3}=\lambda^{2}+2$. For lines

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{p, q, r}=\{x+i y \in \mathbb{C}: p x+q y=r\} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $p, q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$, we show that the $\alpha$-expansions of $\mathcal{K} \cap \Delta_{p, q, r}$ are recognized by a finite automaton.

By a result of John Marstrand [5], the intersection of $\partial \mathcal{K}$ with Lebesgue almost all lines going through $\mathcal{K}$ has Hausdorff dimension $\mathfrak{s}-1$, meaning that in the set of all parameter triples $(p, q, r) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ for which $\Delta_{p, q, r} \cap \mathcal{K} \neq \emptyset$, the exceptional cases form a Lebesgue null set. We obtain here that the Hausdorff dimension of the intersection of the boundary of the Twin Dragon with rational lines is never equal to $\mathfrak{s}-1$.

Further we revisit results by Shigeki Akiyama and Klaus Scheicher [1] and add uncountably many examples of horizontal, vertical, and diagonal lines.

We mention that similar results were obtained in [4] for lines intersecting the Sierpinski carpet $F$. The set $F$ has Hausdorff dimension $\frac{\log 8}{\log 3}$. Manning and Simon showed that, given a slope $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$, the intersection of $F$ with the line $y=\alpha x+\beta$ is strictly less than $\frac{\log 8}{\log 3}-1$ for Lebesgue almost every $\beta$.
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## 2. Main statement and proof

We first recall the notions of a canonical number system and its fundamental domain. Let $\beta$ be an algebraic integer and $\mathcal{N}=\{0,1, \ldots,|N(\beta)|-1\}$, where $N(x)$ denotes the norm of $x$ over $\mathbb{Q}(\beta) / \mathbb{Q}$. The pair $(\beta, \mathcal{N})$ is called a canonical number system (CNS) if each $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ admits a representation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma=\sum_{k=0}^{n} d_{k} \beta^{k}, \quad d_{k} \in \mathcal{N} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We call $\beta$ the radix or base and $\mathcal{N}$ the set of digits. The representation (2.1) is unique up to leading zeros.

The Knuth Twin Dragon $\mathcal{K}$ appears as the fundamental domain of the CNS $(\alpha, \mathcal{N})$, where $\alpha=-1+i$ is the root of the polynomial $x^{2}-2 x-2$ and $\mathcal{N}=\{0,1\}$. The fundamental domain of a CNS is the set of all numbers that can be expressed with purely negative exponents. Since $\alpha^{4}=-4$, it is often useful to consider groups of four digits:

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_{k}}{\alpha^{k}}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{3} d_{4 k-j} \alpha^{j}}{\alpha^{4 k}}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_{k}}{(-4)^{k}},
$$

with the possibilities for $b_{k}=\sum_{j=0}^{3} d_{4 k-j} \alpha^{j}$ being

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
{[0000]_{\alpha}=0,} & {[0001]_{\alpha}=1,} & {[0010]_{\alpha}=-1+i,} & {[0011]_{\alpha}=i,} \\
{[0100]_{\alpha}=-2 i,} & {[0101]_{\alpha}=1-2 i,} & {[0110]_{\alpha}=-1-i,} & {[0111]_{\alpha}=-i,} \\
{[1000]_{\alpha}=2+2 i,} & {[1001]_{\alpha}=3+2 i,} & {[1010]_{\alpha}=1+3 i,} & {[1011]_{\alpha}=2+3 i,} \\
{[1100]_{\alpha}=2,} & {[1101]_{\alpha}=3,} & {[1110]_{\alpha}=1+i,} & {[1111]_{\alpha}=2+i,} \\
\hline 1, & {\left[\begin{array}{l}
\text { a }
\end{array}\right)}
\end{array}
$$

In other words, we have

$$
\mathcal{K}=\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_{k}}{(-4)^{k}}: b_{k} \in \mathcal{D}\right\},
$$

with

$$
\mathcal{D}=\{-1-i,-1+i,-2 i,-i, 0, i, 1-2 i, 1,1+i, 1+3 i, 2,2+i, 2+2 i, 2+3 i, 3,3+2 i\}
$$

Points in the intersection of $\mathcal{K}$ with lines $\Delta_{p, q, r}=\{x+i y: p x+q y=r\}$ can now be characterized by their digit expansion in the following way.

Lemma 2.1. We have $z \in \mathcal{K} \cap \Delta_{p, q, r}$ if and only if there is a digit sequence $b_{1} b_{2} \cdots \in \mathcal{D}^{\mathbb{N}}$ with

$$
z=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_{k}}{(-4)^{k}} \quad \text { and } \quad r=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{p \mathfrak{R}\left(b_{k}\right)+q \Im\left(b_{k}\right)}{(-4)^{k}} .
$$

Here, $\mathfrak{R}(b)$ denotes the real part and $\mathfrak{I}(b)$ denotes the imaginary part of $b \in \mathbb{C}$.
We will show that we can characterize the digit expansion of the points in the intersection $\Delta_{p, q, r} \cap \mathcal{K}$ via a Büchi automaton, that is a finite automaton that accepts infinite paths. Using this representation we will be able to calculate
the Hausdorff dimension of the intersection $\mathcal{K} \cap \Delta_{p, q, r}$ as well as the Hausdorff dimension of $\partial K \cap \Delta_{p, q, r}$.

Definition 2.2. A Büchi automaton is a 5 -tuple $(Q, A, E, I, T)$, where $Q=$ $\left\{q_{1}, \ldots, q_{N}\right\}$ is a finite set of states, $A$ is a finite alphabet, $E \subset Q \times A \times Q$ is a set of edges and $I, T \subset Q$ the set of initial and terminal states. Let $A^{*}$ denote the set of all (finite) words and $A^{\omega}$ denote the set of all (right) infinite words. A word $w \in A^{*}, w=w_{1} \cdots w_{n}$, is accepted by the automaton if and only if there are states $q_{i_{0}}, \ldots, q_{i_{n}}$ such that $q_{i_{0}} \in I, q_{i_{n}} \in T$ and $\left(q_{i_{k-1}}, w_{k}, q_{i_{k}}\right) \in E$ for all $k$. We call such a finite path successful, and we call an infinite path successful if and only if infinitely many subpaths are successful. An infinite word $w \in A^{\omega}$ is accepted by the automaton if there exists an infinite successful path with label $w$. The set of all $w \in A^{\omega}$ that are accepted by the automaton is called its $\omega$-language.

Büchi automata are really helpful to describe self-similar sets. The automaton in Figure 1 characterizes all infinite sequences of digits 0,1 in base $\alpha$ that give rise to boundary points in $\partial \mathcal{K}$; see [3, 7].


Figure 1. An automaton characterizing $\partial \mathcal{K}$ (in base $\alpha$ ).
Let $L_{1}, L_{2}$ two $\omega$-languages in the same alphabet accepted by $\mathcal{A}$ respectively $\mathcal{B}$. It can be necessary to create automata accepting the union of the languages or their intersection. The union is not difficult: one just uses the union of states and edges, as well as the union of terminal and initial states. The intersection generally requires heavy computations, especially in the non-deterministic case, where a larger framework than Büchi automata needs to be used. But it becomes easy in some cases. We prove one particular case that will be useful to prove our main statements.

Lemma 2.3. Let $L_{1}, L_{2}$ be two $\omega$-languages on the same alphabet $A$ accepted by Büchi automata. If one of the automata has only terminal states, then there is a Büchi automaton accepting $L_{1} \cap L_{2}$.

Proof. Define $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}=\left(Q_{\mathcal{A}} \times Q_{\mathcal{B}}, A, E, I_{\mathcal{A}} \times I_{\mathcal{B}}, T_{\mathcal{A}} \times T_{\mathcal{B}}\right)$, where $E$ consists of the edges $(a, b) \xrightarrow{d}\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right)$ with $a \xrightarrow{d} a^{\prime}$ and $b \xrightarrow{d} b^{\prime}$. Let $w \in A^{\omega}$ be a word that is accepted by $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}$. Then there exists an infinite path in the automaton. Projecting to the first coordinate gives an infinite path through $\mathcal{A}$. Therefore, we have $w \in L_{1}$ and with the same reasoning $w \in L_{2}$. Now let $w \in L_{1} \cap L_{2}$. There exists a path $a_{0} a_{1} \cdots$ through $\mathcal{A}$ and a path $b_{0} b_{1} \cdots$ through $\mathcal{B}$. Then $\left(a_{0}, b_{0}\right)\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right) \cdots$ is a path in the product automaton. Assume w.l.o.g. that all states of $\mathcal{A}$ are terminal. Then, for every finite subpath $b_{0} b_{1} \cdots b_{k}$ accepted by $\mathcal{B}$, the corresponding path $a_{0} a_{1} \cdots a_{k}$ in $\mathcal{A}$ is also accepted, hence $\left(a_{0}, b_{0}\right)\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right) \cdots$ is successful.

In general, if $\Delta_{p, q, r} \cap \mathcal{K}$ is described by a Büchi automaton $\mathcal{A}$ and the boundary $\partial \mathcal{K}$ by a Büchi automaton $\mathcal{G}$, then $\partial \mathcal{K} \cap \Delta_{p, q, r}$ is described by the product automaton $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{G}$. Interpreting this Büchi automaton as a graph directed construction for $\partial \mathcal{K} \cap \Delta_{p, q, r}$, we will have a way to compute the Hausdorff dimension of this set via results of Mauldin and Williams [6]. Let us state and prove our main statements.

Theorem 2.4. Let $p, q, r \in \mathbb{Z}, \Delta_{p, q, r}$ as in (1.1) and $\mathcal{K}$ the Knuth Twin Dragon. Then the intersection $\mathcal{K} \cap \Delta_{p, q, r}$ can be described by a Büchi automaton.

Proof. For $s, s^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}$ we define an edge relation by

$$
\begin{equation*}
s \xrightarrow{b} s^{\prime} \Longleftrightarrow s^{\prime}=p \mathfrak{R}(b)+q \Im(b)-4 s . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now consider a path $-r=s_{0} \xrightarrow{b_{1}} s_{1} \xrightarrow{b_{2}} \cdots \xrightarrow{b_{n}} s_{n}$. Then

$$
s_{n}=(-4)^{n}(-r)+\sum_{k=1}^{n}(-4)^{n-k}\left(p \mathfrak{R}\left(b_{k}\right)+q \Im\left(b_{k}\right)\right),
$$

i.e.,

$$
\frac{s_{n}}{(-4)^{n}}=-r+\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{p \mathfrak{R}\left(b_{k}\right)+q \Im\left(b_{k}\right)}{(-4)^{k}} .
$$

Using Lemma 2.1, we immediately get that

$$
(x, y)=\left[0 . b_{1} b_{2} b_{3} \cdots\right]_{-4} \in \mathcal{K} \cap \Delta_{p, q, r} \quad \text { if and only if } \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s_{n}}{(-4)^{n}}=0 .
$$

We now show that the elements $s_{n}$ lying on paths starting with $s_{0}=-r$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s_{n}}{(-4)^{n}}=0$ are bounded by a constant $c(p, q)$. Indeed, we have

$$
\frac{s_{n}}{(-4)^{n}}=-r+\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{p \mathfrak{R}\left(b_{k}\right)+q \mathfrak{I}\left(b_{k}\right)}{(-4)^{k}}=-\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{p \mathfrak{R}\left(b_{k}\right)+q \Im\left(b_{k}\right)}{(-4)^{k}},
$$

and therefore

$$
\left|s_{n}\right|=4^{n}\left|\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{p \mathfrak{R}\left(b_{k}\right)+q \Im\left(b_{k}\right)}{(-4)^{k}}\right| \leq \frac{\max \{|p \mathfrak{R}(b)+q \Im(b)|: b \in \mathcal{D}\}}{3}=c(p, q) .
$$

Defining the set of states $Q=\{s \in \mathbb{Z}:|s| \leq c(p, q)\} \cup\{-r\}, I=\{-r\}, T=Q$ and edges as in 2.2, gives us the desired Büchi automaton.

Theorem 2.5. Let $p, q, r \in \mathbb{Z}, \Delta_{p, q, r}$ as in (1.1) and $\mathcal{K}$ the Knuth Twin Dragon. Then the Hausdorff dimension of the intersection $\partial \mathcal{K} \cap \Delta_{p, q, r}$ is never $\mathfrak{s}-1$, where $\mathfrak{s}$ is the Hausdorff dimension of $\partial \mathcal{K}$.

Proof. The Büchi automaton of Theorem 2.4 gives rise to a description of the intersection $\mathcal{K} \cap \Delta_{p, q, r}$ as the attractor of a graph directed construction (GIFS):

$$
\mathcal{K} \cap \Delta_{p, q, r}=K_{-r}, \quad \text { with } \quad K_{s}=\bigcup_{s^{b} \rightarrow s^{\prime} \in \mathcal{A}} \frac{K_{s^{\prime}}+b}{-4} \quad(s \in Q) .
$$

As mentioned above, $\partial \mathcal{K}$ is also the attractor of a GIFS:

$$
\partial \mathcal{K}=\bigcup_{g \in Q^{\prime}} K_{g}, \quad \text { with } \quad K_{g}=\bigcup_{g \xrightarrow{b} g^{\prime} \in \mathcal{G}} \frac{K_{g^{\prime}}+b}{-4} \quad\left(g \in Q^{\prime}\right)
$$

where $\mathcal{G}$ is the automaton characterizing $\partial \mathcal{K}$ in base -4 . The automaton $\mathcal{G}$ can be obtained from the automaton $\mathcal{G}^{\prime}$ of Figure 1 as follows.

- The set of states $Q^{\prime}$ is the same as for $\mathcal{G}^{\prime}$; all states are initial and terminal.
- There is an edge from $g$ to $g^{\prime}$ in $\mathcal{G}$ whenever there is a path of length 4 from $g$ to $g^{\prime}$ in $\mathcal{G}^{\prime}$. The label of this edge in $\mathcal{G}$ is the digit vector $\left[d_{1} d_{2} d_{3} d_{4}\right]_{\alpha}$ corresponding to the labels $d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}, d_{4}$ in $\mathcal{G}^{\prime}$ along the path of length 4.
In that way, $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ are built on the same alphabet. By Lemma 2.3 , the intersection $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{G}$ is a Büchi automaton describing the intersection $\Delta_{p, q, r} \cap \partial \mathcal{K}$. By Mauldin and Williams [6], the Hausdorff dimension of a GIFS attractor can be computed from the spectral radius $\beta$ of the incidence matrix of a strongly connected component of the associated automaton; see further details in Remark 2.6. In particular, in our case,

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{H}\left(\partial \mathcal{K} \cap \Delta_{p, q, r}\right)=\frac{\log \beta}{\log 4}
$$

where the involved number $\beta$ is an algebraic integer.
Now, the dimension of the boundary of the Twin Dragon is $\mathfrak{s}=\frac{\log \lambda}{\log \sqrt{2}}$, with $\lambda^{3}=\lambda^{2}+2$. To have $\frac{\log \beta}{\log 4}=\mathfrak{s}-1$, we need $\beta=\frac{\lambda^{4}}{4}$. However, the minimal polynomial of $\frac{\lambda^{4}}{4}$ is $4 x^{3}-9 x^{2}+2 x-1$, thus $\frac{\lambda^{4}}{4}$ is not an algebraic integer.
Remark 2.6. We shortly explain why the results of Mauldin and Williams 6] indeed apply to our setting. All the similarities in our graphs are contractions of the form $T(x)=\frac{x+b}{-4}$, with the same ratio $-\frac{1}{4}$. Therefore, if $G$ denotes any of our graphs, we only need to check the existence of nonoverlapping compact sets $J_{1}, \ldots, J_{n}$ (one for each node $1, \ldots, n$ of $G$ ) with the property

$$
\forall i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}, J_{i} \supset \bigcup_{i \xrightarrow{T} \rightarrow j \in G} T\left(J_{j}\right),
$$

each union being nonoverlapping.

For the graph $G=\mathcal{G}$ of our paper (with states $g \in Q^{\prime}$ ), the intersections of $\mathcal{K}$ with its six neighboring tiles in the plane tiling generated by $\mathcal{K}$ are compact sets playing the role of the $J_{i}$ 's, that is, satisfying the above nonoverlapping conditions; see for example [2]. These intersections are exactly the sets $K_{g}$ defined in the proof of Theorem 2.5.

Now, the graph $G=\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{G}$ of our paper can be interpreted as a subgraph of $\mathcal{G}$ : taking the product of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ means to select paths of $\mathcal{G}$. The states of $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{G}$ are of the form $(r, g)$, for some integers $r$ and $g \in Q^{\prime}$. Defining

$$
K_{r, g}:=\Delta_{p, q,-r} \cap K_{g},
$$

we obtain compact sets fulfilling the nonoverlapping requirements mentioned above.

## 3. Further results of intersections of the Twin Dragon with RATIONAL LINES

In this section, we want to extend the work of [1], where the intersections with the $x$-and the $y$-axis are calculated. The intersections of these lines with $\partial K$ are significatively different from the expected result for intersections of fractals and lines, as they consist only of two points. First, we show that their result extends to uncountably many axis-parallel lines (where we do not have finite automata), and using the self-similar structure, to diagonal lines. Then we give one example of a more complicated intersection.

Theorem 3.1. Let $a_{1} a_{2} \cdots$ be a sequence in $\{0,1\}^{\omega}$ not ending in $(01)^{\omega}$, and

$$
r=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2 a_{k}}{(-4)^{k}} .
$$

Then

$$
\partial \mathcal{K} \cap \Delta_{1,0, r}=\left\{r+\left(r-\frac{2}{5}\right) i, r+\left(r+\frac{3}{5}\right) i\right\},
$$

and $\mathcal{K} \cap \Delta_{1,0, r}$ is the closed line segment $r+\left[r-\frac{2}{5}, r+\frac{3}{5}\right] i$.
Proof. We first use Lemma 2.1 to describe $\mathcal{K} \cap \Delta_{1,0, r}$, i.e., we determine the sequences $b_{1} b_{2} \cdots \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $\mathfrak{R}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k}(-4)^{-k}\right)=r$, i.e.,

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2 a_{k}-\mathfrak{R}\left(b_{k}\right)}{(-4)^{k}}=0 .
$$

Since $\mathfrak{R}\left(b_{k}\right) \in\{-1,0,1,2,3\}$, we have $2 a_{k}-\mathfrak{R}\left(b_{k}\right) \in\{-3,-2, \ldots, 2,3\}$ and thus

$$
\left|\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{2 a_{k}-\Re\left(b_{k}\right)}{(-4)^{k}}\right| \leq \frac{1}{4^{n}} \quad \text { for all } n \geq 0
$$

Moreover, equality holds if and only if $2 a_{k}-\mathfrak{R}\left(b_{k}\right)$ is alternately 3 and -3 , which implies that $a_{k}$ is alternately 1 and 0 , which we have excluded. This gives that

$$
\left|\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{2 a_{k}-\mathfrak{R}\left(b_{k}\right)}{(-4)^{k}}\right|<\frac{1}{4^{n}} \quad \text { and } \quad \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{2 a_{k}-\mathfrak{R}\left(b_{k}\right)}{(-4)^{k}}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\mathfrak{R}\left(b_{k}\right)-2 a_{k}}{(-4)^{k}} \in \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{4^{n}}
$$



Figure 2. The Knuth Twin Dragon $\mathcal{K}$ and its intersection with $\Delta_{1,0, r}$ for some $r$ as in Theorem 3.1 (red) and with $\Delta_{1,0,-1 / 5}$ (blue).
for all $n \geq 1$, hence $\mathfrak{R}\left(b_{k}\right)=2 a_{k}$ for all $k \geq 1$. For the corresponding sequences $d_{1} d_{2} \cdots$ (with $\sum_{j=0}^{3} d_{4 k-j} \alpha^{j}=b_{k}$ ) this implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{4 k-3} d_{4 k-2} d_{4 k-1} d_{4 k} \in\left\{a_{k} 000, a_{k} 011, a_{k} 100, a_{k} 111\right\} \quad \text { for all } k \geq 1 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now consider sequences $d_{1} d_{2} \cdots$ of the form (3.1) in the boundary automaton $\mathcal{G}$ given in Figure 1. The only paths labeled by $a b c c, a, b, c \in\{0,1\}$, starting from $g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{5}$ and $g_{6}$ respectively are
$g_{1} \xrightarrow{0000} g_{6}, g_{1} \xrightarrow{0011} g_{2}, g_{2} \xrightarrow{1000} g_{5}, g_{2} \xrightarrow{1011} g_{1}, g_{5} \xrightarrow{0100} g_{6}, g_{5} \xrightarrow{0111} g_{2}, g_{6} \xrightarrow{1100} g_{5}, g_{6} \xrightarrow{1111} g_{1}$.
Therefore, for an infinite successful path of the form (3.1) starting from $g_{1}, g_{2}$, $g_{5}$ or $g_{6}$, the sequence $a_{1} a_{2} \cdots$ is alternately 0 and 1 , which we have excluded. Hence, it suffices to consider paths that are in $g_{3}$ and $g_{4}$ after $4 k$ steps for all $k \geq 0$. From

$$
g_{3} \xrightarrow{a 100} g_{4} \quad \text { and } \quad g_{4} \xrightarrow{a 011} g_{3} \quad(a \in\{0,1\})
$$

we see that the only points in $\partial \mathcal{K} \cap \Delta_{1,0, r}$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{k} \alpha^{3}}{(-4)^{k}}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{6}+\alpha+1}{16^{k}} & =r(1+i)+\frac{3 i}{5} \\
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{k} \alpha^{3}}{(-4)^{k}}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{5}+\alpha^{4}+\alpha^{2}}{16^{k}} & =r(1+i)-\frac{2 i}{5}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $r(1+i) \in \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K} \cap \Delta_{1,0, r}$ is the line segment between these points.
Theorem 3.2. For $-\frac{8}{15}<r<\frac{2}{15}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
-2 i\left(\mathcal{K} \cap \Delta_{0,1, r / 2}\right) & =\left(\mathcal{K} \cap \Delta_{1,0, r}\right)+\{0, i\} \\
(-1+i)\left(\mathcal{K} \cap \Delta_{1,1,-r}\right) & =\mathcal{K} \cap \Delta_{1,0, r} \\
(-1+i)\left(\mathcal{K} \cap \Delta_{1,-1, r / 2}\right) & =\left(\mathcal{K} \cap \Delta_{0,1, r / 2}\right)+\{0,1\} \\
2(1+i)\left(\mathcal{K} \cap \Delta_{1,-1, r / 2}\right) & =\left(\mathcal{K} \cap \Delta_{1,0, r}\right)+\{-2 i,-i, 0, i\}
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, for $r$ as in Theorem 3.1, the sets $\mathcal{K} \cap \Delta_{0,1, r / 2}, \mathcal{K} \cap \Delta_{1,1,-r}$ and $\mathcal{K} \cap \Delta_{1,-1, r / 2}$ are closed line segments with endpoints

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial \mathcal{K} \cap \Delta_{0,1, r / 2} & =\partial\left(\mathcal{K} \cap \Delta_{0,1, r / 2}\right)=\left\{-\frac{4}{5}-\frac{r}{2}+\frac{r}{2} i, \frac{1}{5}-\frac{r}{2}+\frac{r}{2} i\right\} \\
\partial \mathcal{K} \cap \Delta_{1,1,-r} & =\partial\left(\mathcal{K} \cap \Delta_{1,1,-r}\right)=\left\{-\frac{1}{5}+\left(\frac{1}{5}-r\right) i, \frac{3}{10}-\left(\frac{3}{10}+r\right) i\right\} \\
\partial \mathcal{K} \cap \Delta_{1,-1, r / 2} & =\partial\left(\mathcal{K} \cap \Delta_{1,-1, r / 2}\right)=\left\{-\frac{3}{5}+\frac{r}{2}-\frac{3}{5} i, \frac{2}{5}+\frac{r}{2}+\frac{2}{5} i\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 3. The intersection of $\mathcal{K}=\alpha^{-1}(\mathcal{K} \cup(\mathcal{K}+1))$ with lines $\Delta_{0,1, r / 2}, \Delta_{1,1,-r}$, and $\Delta_{1,-1, r / 2}$ for some $r$ as in Theorem 3.1.

Proof. Note that $\alpha \mathcal{K}=\mathcal{K} \cup(\mathcal{K}+1)$ and

$$
\alpha \Delta_{1,1,-r}=\Delta_{1,0,-r}, \quad \alpha \Delta_{0,1, r / 2}=\Delta_{1,1,-r}, \quad \alpha \Delta_{1,-1, r / 2}=\Delta_{0,1, r / 2}
$$

Moreover, we have

$$
(\mathcal{K}+1) \cap \Delta_{1,0, r}=\emptyset=(\mathcal{K}-1) \cap \Delta_{1,0, r}=(\mathcal{K}+\alpha) \cap \Delta_{1,0, r}
$$

since $-\frac{8}{15}<r<\frac{2}{15}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \min \{x: x+i y \in \mathcal{K}\}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{3}{(-4)^{2 k-1}}+\frac{-1}{(-4)^{2 k}}\right)=-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{13}{16^{k}}=-\frac{13}{15}, \\
& \max \{x: x+i y \in \mathcal{K}\}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{-1}{(-4)^{2 k-1}}+\frac{3}{(-4)^{2 k}}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{7}{16^{k}}=\frac{7}{15} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using these geometric properties, we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha\left(\mathcal{K} \cap \Delta_{1,1,-r}\right) & =(\mathcal{K} \cup(\mathcal{K}+1)) \cap \Delta_{1,0, r}=\mathcal{K} \cap \Delta_{1,0, r}, \\
\alpha^{2}\left(\mathcal{K} \cap \Delta_{0,1, r / 2}\right) & =(\mathcal{K} \cup(\mathcal{K}+1) \cup(\mathcal{K}+\alpha) \cup(\mathcal{K}+\alpha+1)) \cap \Delta_{1,0, r} \\
& =\left(\mathcal{K} \cap \Delta_{1,0, r}\right) \cup\left((\mathcal{K}+i) \cap \Delta_{1,0, r}\right)=\left(\mathcal{K} \cap \Delta_{1,0, r}\right)+\{0, i\}, \\
\alpha\left(\mathcal{K} \cap \Delta_{1,-1, r / 2}\right) & =(\mathcal{K} \cup(\mathcal{K}+1)) \cap \Delta_{0,1, r / 2}=\left(\mathcal{K} \cap \Delta_{0,1, r / 2}\right)+\{0,1\}, \\
\alpha^{3}\left(\mathcal{K} \cap \Delta_{1,-1, r / 2}\right) & =\alpha^{2}\left(\mathcal{K} \cap \Delta_{0,1, r / 2}\right)-\{0,2 i\}=\left(\mathcal{K} \cap \Delta_{1,0, r}\right)+\{-2 i,-i, 0, i\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $r$ as in Theorem 3.1, we have $-\frac{8}{15}<r<\frac{2}{15}$ since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \min \left\{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2 a_{k}}{(-4)^{k}}: a_{1} a_{2} \cdots \in\{0,1\}^{\omega}\right\}=-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{8}{16^{k}}=-\frac{8}{15}, \\
& \max \left\{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2 a_{k}}{(-4)^{k}}: a_{1} a_{2} \cdots \in\{0,1\}^{\omega}\right\}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{16^{k}}=\frac{2}{15},
\end{aligned}
$$

and the minimum and maximum are attained only for the sequences $(10)^{\omega}$ and $(01)^{\omega}$, which we have excluded. Therefore, Theorem 3.1 and the formulae above give that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{K} \cap \Delta_{1,1,-r} & =-\frac{1+i}{2}\left(r(1+i)+\left[-\frac{2}{5}, \frac{3}{5}\right] i\right)=-r i+\left[-\frac{1}{5}, \frac{3}{10}\right](1-i), \\
\mathcal{K} \cap \Delta_{0,1, r / 2} & =\frac{i}{2}\left(r(1+i)+\left[-\frac{2}{5}, \frac{8}{5}\right] i\right)=r \frac{-1+i}{2}+\left[-\frac{4}{5}, \frac{1}{5}\right], \\
\mathcal{K} \cap \Delta_{1,-1, r / 2} & =\frac{1-i}{4}\left(r(1+i)+\left[-\frac{12}{5}, \frac{8}{5}\right] i\right)=\frac{r}{2}+\left[-\frac{3}{5}, \frac{2}{5}\right](1+i),
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves the statements for the intersection of $\mathcal{K}$ with lines. For the intersections of $\partial \mathcal{K}$ with lines, it only remains to check that the points in

$$
\alpha^{-2}\left(\left(\mathcal{K} \cap \Delta_{1,0, r}\right) \cap\left(\left(\mathcal{K} \cap \Delta_{1,0, r}\right)+i\right)\right)=\left\{\frac{1}{\alpha^{2}}\left(r(1+i)+\frac{3 i}{5}\right)\right\}
$$

and

$$
\alpha^{-1}\left(\left(\mathcal{K} \cap \Delta_{0,1, r / 2}\right) \cap\left(\left(\mathcal{K} \cap \Delta_{0,1, r / 2}\right)+1\right)\right)=\left\{\frac{1}{\alpha^{3}}\left(r(1+i)-\frac{2}{5} i\right)\right\}
$$

are not in $\partial K$. By the proof of Theorem 3.1, the digit expansion

$$
\left[\cdot a_{1} 100 a_{2} 011 a_{3} 100 a_{4} 011 \cdots\right]_{\alpha}=r(1+i)+\frac{3}{5} i
$$

is given by a path starting only from $g_{3}$ in the boundary automaton $\mathcal{G}$. Dividing by $\alpha^{2}$ adds 00 in front of the expansion, but $g_{3}$ cannot be reached by 00 , hence $\frac{1}{\alpha^{2}}\left(r(1+i)+\frac{3 i}{5}\right)$ is not on the boundary of $K$. Similarly, the digit expansion

$$
\left[\cdot a_{1} 011 a_{2} 100 a_{3} 011 a_{4} 100 \cdots\right]_{\alpha}=r(1+i)-\frac{2}{5} i
$$

is given by a path starting from $g_{4}$ in the boundary automaton $\mathcal{G}$, and $g_{4}$ cannot be reached by 000 , thus $\frac{1}{\alpha^{3}}\left(r(1+i)-\frac{2}{5} i\right)$ is not on the boundary of $K$. This proves that all intersections of $\mathcal{K}$ with the given lines are line segments.

We can use this method to find a vertical line with a more interesting intersection. For example, if we look at $\Delta_{1,0,-1 / 4}$, we see that the only expansion $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_{k}}{(-4)^{k}}$ with $b_{k} \in \mathcal{D}$ having real part $-1 / 4$ is $b_{1} b_{2} \cdots=100 \cdots$. In base $\alpha$, we must therefore have $d_{1} d_{2} d_{3} d_{4} \in\{0001,0101,1010,1110\}$, which correspond to the digits $1,1-2 i, 1+3 i, 1+i \in \mathcal{D}$. The remaining digit sequences $d_{5} d_{6} \cdots$ give points in $\frac{1}{\alpha^{4}}\left(\mathcal{K} \cap \Delta_{1,0,0}\right)$, thus

$$
\mathcal{K} \cap \Delta_{1,0,-1 / 4}=-\frac{1}{4}+\left(\left[-\frac{9}{10},-\frac{13}{20}\right] \cup\left[-\frac{2}{5}, \frac{1}{10}\right] \cup\left[\frac{7}{20}, \frac{3}{5}\right]\right) i .
$$

We go on with $\Delta_{1,0,-1 / 4+1 / 16}$ and see that points in the intersection have imaginary part with an expansion in base -4 starting with two digits in $\{-2,0,1,3\}$ and ending with digits in $\{-1,0,1,2\}$. For the limit $\Delta_{1,0,-1 / 5}$ of lines of this form, we obtain the following intersection with $\mathcal{K}$, see Figure 2 .

Theorem 3.3. We have

$$
\mathcal{K} \cap \Delta_{1,0,-1 / 5}=\left\{-\frac{1}{5}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_{k}}{(-4)^{k}} i: d_{k} \in\{-2,0,1,3\} \text { for all } k \geq 1\right\}
$$

and a point is in $\partial \mathcal{K} \cap \Delta_{1,0,-1 / 5}$ if and only if it is of the form $-\frac{1}{5}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} d_{k}(-4)^{-k} i$, where $d_{1} d_{2} \cdots$ is a path in the automaton in Figure 4.
Proof. Since $-\frac{1}{5}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(-4)^{-k}$, we obtain in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 that $\mathfrak{R}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k}(-4)^{-k}\right)=-\frac{1}{5}$ with $b_{k} \in \mathcal{D}$ if and only if $\mathfrak{R}\left(b_{k}\right)=1$ for all $k \geq 1$, i.e., $b_{k} \in\{1-2 i, 1,1+i, 1+3 i\}$. The corresponding 4 -digit blocks in base $\alpha$ are 0101, 0001, 1110, and 1010. This proves the characterization of $\mathcal{K} \cap \Delta_{1,0,-1 / 5}$.

In the boundary automaton, the digit blocks $0101,0001,1110$, and 1010 are accepted only from $g_{3}$ and $g_{4}$, and we have the transitions

$$
g_{3} \xrightarrow{0101} g_{3}, g_{3} \xrightarrow{0001} g_{4}, g_{3} \xrightarrow{0101} g_{4}, g_{4} \xrightarrow{1010} g_{4}, g_{4} \xrightarrow{1010} g_{3}, g_{4} \xrightarrow{1110} g_{3} .
$$

Taking imaginary parts of the corresponding numbers in $\mathcal{D}$ gives the automaton in Figure 4


Figure 4. Automaton recognizing the imaginary parts of points in $\partial \mathcal{K} \cap \Delta_{1,0,-1 / 5}$ in base -4.

Theorem 3.4. The Hausdorff dimension of $\mathcal{K} \cap \Delta_{1,0,-1 / 5}$ is 1 and

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{H}\left(\partial \mathcal{K} \cap \Delta_{1,0,-1 / 5}\right)=\frac{\log 3}{\log 4} \approx 0.7925>\mathfrak{s}-1
$$

Proof. We can interpret the intersection with $\Delta_{1,0,-1 / 5}$ as the self-similar digit tile in $\mathbb{R}$ with $A=-4$ and $D=\{-2,0,1,3\}$. Since $D$ is a complete residue system modulo 4 , this tile has non empty interior and therefore is of dimension 1 .

For the boundary, we have $\partial \mathcal{K} \cap \Delta_{1,0,-1 / 5}=K_{3} \cup K_{4}$, with

$$
-4 K_{3}=\left(K_{3}-2\right) \cup\left(K_{4}-2\right) \cup K_{4}, \quad-4 K_{4}=\left(K_{3}+1\right) \cup\left(K_{3}+3\right) \cup\left(K_{4}+3\right) .
$$

Therefore, by [6], the Hausdorff dimension of $\partial \mathcal{K} \cap \Delta_{1,0,-1 / 5}$ is $\log \beta / \log 4$, where $\beta$ is the Perron-Frobenius eigenvalue of the matrix $\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1\end{array}\right)$, i.e., $\beta=3$.
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