

Automorphisms of low complexity subshifts 2

Case of classical minimal subshifts

Samuel Petite

LAMFA UMR CNRS

Université de Picardie Jules Verne, France

JSPS-FWF Meeting, Salzburg Feb. 2019

Automorphism of classical minimal systems

A subshift $X \subset A^{\mathbb{Z}}$, (i.e. a closed shift invariant $\sigma(X) = X$ set) is **minimal** if it has no proper non empty subshift.

Automorphism of classical minimal systems

A subshift $X \subset A^{\mathbb{Z}}$, (i.e. a closed shift invariant $\sigma(X) = X$ set) is **minimal** if it has no proper non empty subshift.

Dynamically: each orbit is dense in X .

Automorphism of classical minimal systems

A subshift $X \subset A^{\mathbb{Z}}$, (i.e. a closed shift invariant $\sigma(X) = X$ set) is **minimal** if it has no proper non empty subshift.

Dynamically: each orbit is dense in X .

Exercice: the subshift generated by $(x_n)_n$ is minimal iff it is **uniformly recurrent**, i.e. the set of occurrences of each word is relatively dense.

Automorphism of classical minimal systems

A subshift $X \subset A^{\mathbb{Z}}$, (i.e. a closed shift invariant $\sigma(X) = X$ set) is **minimal** if it has no proper non empty subshift.

Dynamically: each orbit is dense in X .

Exercise: the subshift generated by $(x_n)_n$ is minimal iff it is **uniformly recurrent**, i.e. the set of occurrences of each word is relatively dense.

Any subshift has a non empty minimal subshift.

Automorphism of classical minimal systems

A subshift $X \subset A^{\mathbb{Z}}$, (i.e. a closed shift invariant $\sigma(X) = X$ set) is **minimal** if it has no proper non empty subshift.

Dynamically: each orbit is dense in X .

Exercise: the subshift generated by $(x_n)_n$ is minimal iff it is **uniformly recurrent**, i.e. the set of occurrences of each word is relatively dense.

Any subshift has a non empty minimal subshift.

Example:

- Periodic sequences.
- Sturmian subshift, substitutive,...
- Toeplitz subshift.

Automorphism of minimal subshifts

An automorphism $\phi \in \text{Homeo}(X)$ commutes with σ .

Automorphism of minimal subshifts

An automorphism $\phi \in \text{Homeo}(X)$ commutes with σ .

An automorphism maps minimal component to minimal component of X .

Automorphism of minimal subshifts

An automorphism $\phi \in \text{Homeo}(X)$ commutes with σ .

An automorphism maps minimal component to minimal component of X .

To understand $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)$: first understand the minimal case!

Automorphism of minimal subshifts

Lemma

Let (X, T) be a minimal dynamical system. The action of $\text{Aut}(X, T)$ on X

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Aut}(X, T) \times X &\rightarrow X \\ (\phi, x) &\mapsto \phi(x),\end{aligned}$$

is *free* (the stabilizer of any point is trivial).

Automorphism of minimal subshifts

Lemma

Let (X, T) be a minimal dynamical system. The action of $\text{Aut}(X, T)$ on X

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Aut}(X, T) \times X &\rightarrow X \\ (\phi, x) &\mapsto \phi(x),\end{aligned}$$

is *free* (the stabilizer of any point is trivial).

Proof. For any automorphism ϕ , the set

$$\{x; \phi(x) = x\}$$

is closed and T invariant.

By minimality, it is either X ($\Rightarrow \phi = \text{Id}$) or empty.

Automorphism of classical systems

Examples of minimal subshift (X, σ) , with $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)$ isomorphic to

- \mathbb{Q} , with 1 identified with σ

Boyle-Lind-Rudolph (88)

Automorphism of classical systems

Examples of minimal subshift (X, σ) , with $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)$ isomorphic to

- \mathbb{Q} , with 1 identified with σ Boyle-Lind-Rudolph (88)
- $\langle \sigma \rangle \oplus G$ for an arbitrarily finite group G Host-Parreau
- Lemańczyk-Mentzen, (89)

Automorphism of classical systems

Examples of minimal subshift (X, σ) , with $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)$ isomorphic to

- \mathbb{Q} , with 1 identified with σ Boyle-Lind-Rudolph (88)
- $\langle \sigma \rangle \oplus G$ for an arbitrarily finite group G Host-Parreau
- Lemańczyk-Mentzen, (89)
- $\langle \sigma \rangle \oplus G$ for an arbitrarily finitely generated abelian group G
(eventually G trivial)

Non superlinear complexity

Complexity function

$$p_X(n) = \#\mathcal{L}_n(X).$$

Theorem (Donoso-Durand-Maass & P., Cyr & Kra (15),
Coven-Quas & Yassawi (16))

Let (X, σ) be a minimal subshift such that

$$\liminf_n \frac{p_X(n)}{n} < +\infty.$$

Then any continuous $\phi: X \rightarrow X$ s.t. $\phi \circ \sigma = \sigma \circ \phi$, is bijective.
Moreover $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)/\langle \sigma \rangle$ is finite and

$$\#\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)/\langle \sigma \rangle \leq \liminf_n \frac{p_X(n)}{n}.$$

Theorem (Donoso et al., Cyr et al. (15), Coven et al. (16))

Let (X, σ) be a minimal subshift s.t. $\liminf_n p_X(n)/n < +\infty$.
Then any continuous $\phi: X \rightarrow X$ s.t. $\phi \circ \sigma = \sigma \circ \phi$, is bijective.
Moreover

$$\#Aut(X, \sigma)/\langle \sigma \rangle \leq \liminf_n \frac{p_X(n)}{n}.$$

Example.

- Sturmian subshifts: $p_X(n) = n + 1$ for all n (Olli 2013).
- Coding of minimal Interval Exchange Transformations.
- Pisot substitution (Salo-Törmä 2013)
- Linearly recurrent subshift (substitutive, ...).

Theorem (Donoso et al., Cyr et al. (15), Coven et al. (16))

Let (X, σ) be a minimal subshift s.t. $\liminf_n p_X(n)/n < +\infty$.

Then any continuous $\phi: X \rightarrow X$ s.t. $\phi \circ \sigma = \sigma \circ \phi$, is bijective.

Moreover

$$\#Aut(X, \sigma)/\langle \sigma \rangle \leq \liminf_n \frac{p_X(n)}{n}.$$

Example. This includes also

- Subshifts with subexponential complexity

$p_X(n) \geq g(n)$ i.o. where $\lim_n g(n)/\alpha^n = 0$ for any $\alpha > 1$.

Theorem (Donoso et al., Cyr et al. (15), Coven et al. (16))

Let (X, σ) be a minimal subshift. If

$$\liminf_n \frac{p_X(n)}{n} < +\infty,$$

then $\#Aut(X, \sigma)/\langle \sigma \rangle \leq \liminf_n \frac{p_X(n)}{n}$.

Result is sharp.

Theorem (Donoso et al., Cyr et al. (15), Coven et al. (16))

Let (X, σ) be a minimal subshift. If

$$\liminf_n \frac{p_X(n)}{n} < +\infty,$$

then $\#Aut(X, \sigma)/\langle \sigma \rangle \leq \liminf_n \frac{p_X(n)}{n}$.

Result is sharp. Host-Parreau, Lemańczyk-Mentzen (1989): for any finite group G there exists a minimal subshift (X, σ) with

$$Aut(X, \sigma)/\langle \sigma \rangle \simeq G.$$

Theorem (Host-Parreau, Lemańczyk-Mentzen (1989))

for any finite group G there exists a minimal subshift (X, σ) with

$$\text{Aut}(X, \sigma) / \langle \sigma \rangle \simeq G.$$

idea of Proof. Let $G = \{g_0, \dots, g_\ell\}$, $g_0 = 1_G$, For $g \in G$.

$$L_g : G \ni h \mapsto gh \in G \quad \text{left multiplication by } g.$$

Theorem (Host-Parreau, Lemańczyk-Mentzen (1989))

for any finite group G there exists a minimal subshift (X, σ) with

$$\text{Aut}(X, \sigma) / \langle \sigma \rangle \simeq G.$$

idea of Proof. Let $G = \{g_0, \dots, g_\ell\}$, $g_0 = 1_G$, For $g \in G$.

$$L_g : G \ni h \mapsto gh \in G \quad \text{left multiplication by } g.$$

Consider the primitive substitution $\tau : G \rightarrow G^*$

$$\tau : g \mapsto L_g(g_0)L_g(g_1)\dots L_g(g_\ell).$$

Theorem (Host-Parreau, Lemańczyk-Mentzen (1989))

for any finite group G there exists a minimal subshift (X, σ) with

$$\text{Aut}(X, \sigma) / \langle \sigma \rangle \simeq G.$$

idea of Proof. Let $G = \{g_0, \dots, g_\ell\}$, $g_0 = 1_G$, For $g \in G$.

$$L_g : G \ni h \mapsto gh \in G \quad \text{left multiplication by } g.$$

Consider the primitive substitution $\tau : G \rightarrow G^*$

$$\tau : g \mapsto L_g(g_0)L_g(g_1)\dots L_g(g_\ell).$$

$$X_\tau = \{(x_n)_n : x_i \dots x_{i+n} \text{ is a word of some } \tau^q(g_0)\}.$$

Theorem (Host-Parreau, Lemańczyk-Mentzen (1989))

for any finite group G there exists a minimal subshift (X, σ) with

$$\text{Aut}(X, \sigma) / \langle \sigma \rangle \simeq G.$$

idea of Proof. Let $G = \{g_0, \dots, g_\ell\}$, $g_0 = 1_G$, For $g \in G$.

$$L_g : G \ni h \mapsto gh \in G \quad \text{left multiplication by } g.$$

Consider the primitive substitution $\tau : G \rightarrow G^*$

$$\tau : g \mapsto L_g(g_0)L_g(g_1)\dots L_g(g_\ell).$$

$X_\tau = \{(x_n)_n : x_i \dots x_{i+n} \text{ is a word of some } \tau^q(g_0)\}$.

Set for $g \in G$,

$$\hat{\phi}_g : h \in G \mapsto L_g(h) \in G$$

Theorem (Host-Parreau, Lemańczyk-Mentzen (1989))

for any finite group G there exists a minimal subshift (X, σ) with

$$\text{Aut}(X, \sigma) / \langle \sigma \rangle \simeq G.$$

idea of Proof. Let $G = \{g_0, \dots, g_\ell\}$, $g_0 = 1_G$, For $g \in G$.

$$L_g : G \ni h \mapsto gh \in G \quad \text{left multiplication by } g.$$

Consider the primitive substitution $\tau : G \rightarrow G^*$

$$\tau : g \mapsto L_g(g_0)L_g(g_1)\dots L_g(g_\ell).$$

$X_\tau = \{(x_n)_n : x_i \dots x_{i+n} \text{ is a word of some } \tau^q(g_0)\}$.

Set for $g \in G$,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\phi}_g : h \in G &\mapsto L_g(h) \in G \\ \hat{\phi}_g(\tau(h)) &= \tau(L_g(h))\end{aligned}$$

Theorem (Host-Parreau, Lemańczyk-Mentzen (1989))

for any finite group G there exists a minimal subshift (X, σ) with

$$\text{Aut}(X, \sigma) / \langle \sigma \rangle \simeq G.$$

idea of Proof. Let $G = \{g_0, \dots, g_\ell\}$, $g_0 = 1_G$, For $g \in G$.

$$L_g : G \ni h \mapsto gh \in G \quad \text{left multiplication by } g.$$

Consider the primitive substitution $\tau : G \rightarrow G^*$

$$\tau : g \mapsto L_g(g_0)L_g(g_1)\dots L_g(g_\ell).$$

$X_\tau = \{(x_n)_n : x_i \dots x_{i+n} \text{ is a word of some } \tau^q(g_0)\}$.

Set for $g \in G$,

$$\hat{\phi}_g : h \in G \mapsto L_g(h) \in G$$

$$\hat{\phi}_g(\tau(h)) = \tau(L_g(h))$$

$$\hat{\phi}_g : \mathcal{L}(X_\tau) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X_\tau) \quad \phi_g : X_\tau \rightarrow X_\tau \quad G < \text{Aut}(X_\tau, \sigma).$$

Theorem (Donoso et al., Cyr et al. (15), Coven et al. (16))

Let (X, σ) be a minimal subshift. If

$$\liminf_n \frac{p_X(n)}{n} < +\infty,$$

then $\#Aut(X, \sigma)/\langle \sigma \rangle \leq \liminf_n \frac{p_X(n)}{n}$.

Result is sharp. Salo (14), DDMP (16): $\forall \epsilon > 0$, there exists a Toeplitz subshift with complexity $O(n^{1+\epsilon})$ with a non finitely generated automorphism group.

Main Ideas

A word $w \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ is **right special** if there are two letters $a, b \in A$ s.t. wa and wb are words of X .

Main Ideas

A word $w \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ is **right special** if there are two letters $a, b \in A$ s.t. wa and wb are words of X .

Theorem (Morse-Hedlund)

An infinite subshift X has a right special word for each length.

A word $w \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ is **right special** if there are two letters $a, b \in A$ s.t. wa and wb are words of X .

Theorem (Morse-Hedlund)

An infinite subshift X has a right special word for each length.

Two sequences $x = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}, y = (y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in X$ are **asymptotics** if there is a $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$x_n = y_n \quad \forall n < n_0 \text{ and } x_{n_0} \neq y_{n_0}.$$

A word $w \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ is **right special** if there are two letters $a, b \in A$ s.t. wa and wb are words of X .

Theorem (Morse-Hedlund)

An infinite subshift X has a right special word for each length.

Two sequences $x = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}, y = (y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in X$ are **asymptotics** if there is a $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$x_n = y_n \quad \forall n < n_0 \text{ and } x_{n_0} \neq y_{n_0}.$$

This defines an equivalence relation on σ -orbits.
Non trivial class are **asymptotic pairs**.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow -\infty} \text{dist}(\sigma^n(x), \sigma^n(y)) = 0.$$

Proposition

*Let (X, σ) be a subshift with $\liminf_n p_X(n)/n = K < \infty$.
Then there is at most K asymptotic pairs.*

Proposition

Let (X, σ) be a subshift with $\liminf_n p_X(n)/n = K < \infty$.
Then there is at most K asymptotic pairs.

Proof. claim: $p_X(n+1) - p_X(n) < K + 1$ i.o.

Proposition

Let (X, σ) be a subshift with $\liminf_n p_X(n)/n = K < \infty$.
Then there is at most K asymptotic pairs.

Proof. claim: $p_X(n+1) - p_X(n) < K + 1$ i.o.

claim \Rightarrow unif. bound on special words.

Proposition

Let (X, σ) be a subshift with $\liminf_n p_X(n)/n = K < \infty$.
Then there is at most K asymptotic pairs.

Proof. claim: $p_X(n+1) - p_X(n) < K + 1$ i.o.

claim \Rightarrow unif. bound on special words.

By contradiction: $\forall n \geq m$ large enough

$$\begin{aligned} p_X(n) - p_X(m) &= \sum_{i=m}^{n-1} p_X(i+1) - p_X(i) \geq (n-m)(K+1) \\ p_X(n) &\geq (n-m)(K+1) + p_X(m) \end{aligned}$$

Proposition

Let (X, σ) be a subshift with $\liminf_n p_X(n)/n = K < \infty$.
Then there is at most K asymptotic pairs.

Corollary

Let (X, σ) be a minimal subshift s.t. $\liminf_n p_X(n)/n < +\infty$.
Then any continuous $\phi: X \rightarrow X$ s.t. $\phi \circ \sigma = \sigma \circ \phi$, is bijective.
Moreover

$$\#Aut(X, \sigma)/\langle \sigma \rangle \leq \liminf_n \frac{p_X(n)}{n}.$$

Toeplitz sequences

Toeplitz sequences

A **Toeplitz sequence**, i.e. $(x_n)_n$ is s.t.

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, \exists \Gamma = p\mathbb{Z} < \mathbb{Z} \quad x_n = x_{n+\gamma} \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

Toeplitz sequences

A **Toeplitz sequence**, i.e. $(x_n)_n$ is s.t.

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, \exists \Gamma = p\mathbb{Z} < \mathbb{Z} \quad x_n = x_{n+\gamma} \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

$$p_1 = 2$$

$$p_2 = 4$$

$$p_3 = 8$$

$$p_4 = 16$$

Toeplitz sequences

A **Toeplitz sequence**, i.e. $(x_n)_n$ is s.t.

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, \exists \Gamma = p\mathbb{Z} < \mathbb{Z} \quad x_n = x_{n+\gamma} \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
$p_1 = 2$	0	*	0	*	0	*	0	*	0	*	0	*	0	*	0	*	0	
$p_2 = 4$	0	1	0	*	0	1	0	*	0	1	0	*	0	1	0	*	1	
$p_3 = 8$	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	*	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	*	0	1
$p_4 = 16$	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1

Toeplitz sequences

A **Toeplitz sequence**, i.e. $(x_n)_n$ is s.t.

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, \exists \Gamma = p\mathbb{Z} < \mathbb{Z} \quad x_n = x_{n+\gamma} \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

Free to choose:

- the base $(p_n)_{n \geq 0}$ provided $p_n | p_{n+1}$ for each n .

Toeplitz sequences

A **Toeplitz sequence**, i.e. $(x_n)_n$ is s.t.

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, \exists \Gamma = p\mathbb{Z} < \mathbb{Z} \quad x_n = x_{n+\gamma} \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

Free to choose:

- the base $(p_n)_{n \geq 0}$ provided $p_n | p_{n+1}$ for each n .
- to fill part of the gaps.

	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
$p_1 = 2$	0	*	0	*	0	*	0	*	0	*	0	*	0	*	0	*	0
$p_2 = 6$	0	1	0	*	0	*	0	1	0	*	0	*	0	1	0	*	0
$p_3 = 12$	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	*	0	*	0	1	0	0	0
$p_4 = 60$	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	*	0	1	0	0	0

A **Toeplitz subshift** is the subshift X generated by a Toeplitz sequence $x = (x_n)_n$.

$$X = \overline{\{\sigma^n(x) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}}.$$

A **Toeplitz subshift** is the subshift X generated by a Toeplitz sequence $x = (x_n)_n$.

$$X = \overline{\{\sigma^n(x) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}}.$$



Not each sequence $y \in X$ is Toeplitz.

A **Toeplitz subshift** is the subshift X generated by a Toeplitz sequence $x = (x_n)_n$.

$$X = \overline{\{\sigma^n(x) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}}.$$



Not each sequence $y \in X$ is Toeplitz.

Dynamically:

A **Toeplitz subshift** is the subshift X generated by a Toeplitz sequence $x = (x_n)_n$.

$$X = \overline{\{\sigma^n(x) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}}.$$



Not each sequence $y \in X$ is Toeplitz.

Dynamically:

For $x \in X$ a Toeplitz sequence, for any open set $U \subset X$, the return times of x in U :

$$\{n \in \mathbb{Z} : \sigma^n(x) \in U\},$$

contains a subgroup of \mathbb{Z} .

A **Toeplitz subshift** is the subshift X generated by a Toeplitz sequence $x = (x_n)_n$.

$$X = \overline{\{\sigma^n(x) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}}.$$

A **Toeplitz subshift** is the subshift X generated by a Toeplitz sequence $x = (x_n)_n$.

$$X = \overline{\{\sigma^n(x) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}}.$$

Examples of Toeplitz subshift (minimal) with

A **Toeplitz subshift** is the subshift X generated by a Toeplitz sequence $x = (x_n)_n$.

$$X = \overline{\{\sigma^n(x) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}}.$$

Examples of Toeplitz subshift (minimal) with

- non uniquely ergodic minimal subshift

Williams (84)

A **Toeplitz subshift** is the subshift X generated by a Toeplitz sequence $x = (x_n)_n$.

$$X = \overline{\{\sigma^n(x) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}}.$$

Examples of Toeplitz subshift (minimal) with

- non uniquely ergodic minimal subshift
- an arbitrary entropy $h \geq 0$

Williams (84)

Williams (84)

A **Toeplitz subshift** is the subshift X generated by a Toeplitz sequence $x = (x_n)_n$.

$$X = \overline{\{\sigma^n(x) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}}.$$

Examples of Toeplitz subshift (minimal) with

- non uniquely ergodic minimal subshift Williams (84)
- an arbitrary entropy $h \geq 0$ Williams (84)
- complexity in $\Theta \left(n^{\alpha_0} (\log n)^{\alpha_1} (\log \log n)^{\alpha_2} \cdots (\log_{(k)} n)^{\alpha_k} \right)$,
 $\alpha_0 > 1, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in \mathbb{R}$.

Goyon, Cassaigne

Adding machine or odometer

Given a sequence of periods $(p_n)_{n \geq 0}$, with $p_n | p_{n+1}$.

The odometer

$$\mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)} = \{(x_n)_{n \geq 0} \in \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}/p_n\mathbb{Z} : x_{n+1} \equiv x_n \pmod{p_n} \forall n\}.$$

Adding machine or odometer

Given a sequence of periods $(p_n)_{n \geq 0}$, with $p_n | p_{n+1}$.

The odometer

$$\mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)} = \{(x_n)_{n \geq 0} \in \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}/p_n\mathbb{Z} : x_{n+1} \equiv x_n \pmod{p_n} \forall n\}.$$

It is an abelian group: $(x_n)_n + (y_n)_n = (x_n + y_n \pmod{p_n})_n$

Adding machine or odometer

Given a sequence of periods $(p_n)_{n \geq 0}$, with $p_n | p_{n+1}$.

The odometer

$$\mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)} = \{(x_n)_{n \geq 0} \in \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}/p_n\mathbb{Z} : x_{n+1} \equiv x_n \pmod{p_n} \forall n\}.$$

It is an abelian group: $(x_n)_n + (y_n)_n = (x_n + y_n \pmod{p_n})_n$

It is a Cantor set.

Adding machine or odometer

Given a sequence of periods $(p_n)_{n \geq 0}$, with $p_n | p_{n+1}$.

The odometer

$$\mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)} = \{(x_n)_{n \geq 0} \in \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}/p_n\mathbb{Z} : x_{n+1} \equiv x_n \pmod{p_n} \forall n\}.$$

It is an abelian group: $(x_n)_n + (y_n)_n = (x_n + y_n \pmod{p_n})_n$

It is a Cantor set.

Set $\mathbf{1} = (1)_n$.

The action $\cdot + \mathbf{1}$ is minimal.

Adding machine or odometer

Given a sequence of periods $(p_n)_{n \geq 0}$, with $p_n | p_{n+1}$.

The odometer

$$\mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)} = \{(x_n)_{n \geq 0} \in \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}/p_n\mathbb{Z} : x_{n+1} \equiv x_n \pmod{p_n} \forall n\}.$$

It is an abelian group: $(x_n)_n + (y_n)_n = (x_n + y_n \pmod{p_n})_n$

It is a Cantor set.

Set $\mathbf{1} = (1)_n$.

The action $\cdot + \mathbf{1}$ is minimal.

$$\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)}, +\mathbf{1}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)}.$$

Adding machine or odometer

Given a sequence of periods $(p_n)_{n \geq 0}$, with $p_n | p_{n+1}$.

The odometer

$$\mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)} = \{(x_n)_{n \geq 0} \in \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}/p_n\mathbb{Z} : x_{n+1} \equiv x_n \pmod{p_n} \forall n\}.$$

It is an abelian group: $(x_n)_n + (y_n)_n = (x_n + y_n \pmod{p_n})_n$

It is a Cantor set.

Set $\mathbf{1} = (1)_n$.

The action $\cdot + \mathbf{1}$ is minimal.

$$\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)}, +\mathbf{1}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)}.$$

Any minimal equicontinuous system on a Cantor set is conjugated to an odometer.

Theorem (Williams)

Any Toeplitz subshift (X, σ) is an extension of an odometer $(\mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)}, +\mathbf{1})$.

Moreover the factor map $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)}$ is injective on a G_δ dense set.

Theorem (Williams)

Any Toeplitz subshift (X, σ) is an extension of an odometer $(\mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)}, +\mathbf{1})$.

Moreover the factor map $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)}$ is injective on a G_δ dense set.

(X, σ) is an **almost one-to-one** extension of $(\mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)}, +\mathbf{1})$.

Theorem (Williams)

Any Toeplitz subshift (X, σ) is an extension of an odometer $(\mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)}, +\mathbf{1})$.

Moreover the factor map $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)}$ is injective on a G_δ dense set.

(X, σ) is an **almost one-to-one** extension of $(\mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)}, +\mathbf{1})$.

$\mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)}$ is the **maximal equicontinuous** factor of X .

Theorem (Williams)

Any Toeplitz subshift (X, σ) is an extension of an odometer $(\mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)}, +\mathbf{1})$.

Moreover the factor map $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)}$ is injective on a G_δ dense set.

(X, σ) is an **almost one-to-one** extension of $(\mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)}, +\mathbf{1})$.

$\mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)}$ is the **maximal equicontinuous** factor of X .

Converse true

Downarowicz, Lacroix

Lemma

If $\pi: (X, \sigma) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)}, +\mathbf{1})$ is an almost one-to-one extension. Then

$$\pi(x) = \pi(y) \Leftrightarrow \liminf_n d(\sigma^n(x), \sigma^n(y)) = 0.$$

Lemma

If $\pi: (X, \sigma) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)}, +\mathbf{1})$ is an almost one-to-one extension. Then

$$\pi(x) = \pi(y) \Leftrightarrow \liminf_n d(\sigma^n(x), \sigma^n(y)) = 0.$$

Let X be a Toeplitz subshift and $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)}$ its maximal equicontinuous factor.

Lemma

If $\pi: (X, \sigma) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)}, +\mathbf{1})$ is an almost one-to-one extension. Then

$$\pi(x) = \pi(y) \Leftrightarrow \liminf_n d(\sigma^n(x), \sigma^n(y)) = 0.$$

Let X be a Toeplitz subshift and $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)}$ its maximal equicontinuous factor.

Any $\phi \in \text{Aut}(X, \sigma)$ induces an automorphism on $\mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)}$ via π

Lemma

If $\pi: (X, \sigma) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)}, +\mathbf{1})$ is an almost one-to-one extension. Then

$$\pi(x) = \pi(y) \Leftrightarrow \liminf_n d(\sigma^n(x), \sigma^n(y)) = 0.$$

Let X be a Toeplitz subshift and $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)}$ its maximal equicontinuous factor.

Any $\phi \in \text{Aut}(X, \sigma)$ induces an automorphism on $\mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)}$ via π

Corollary

For a Toeplitz subshift (X, σ) .

$$\text{Aut}(X, \sigma) < \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)}, +\mathbf{1}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)}.$$

In particular $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)$ is abelian and residually finite.

Corollary

For a Toeplitz subshift (X, σ) .

$$\text{Aut}(X, \sigma) < \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)}, +\mathbf{1}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)}.$$

Consequences:

$$\mathbb{Q} \not\leq \text{Aut}(X, \sigma).$$

Corollary

For a Toeplitz subshift (X, σ) .

$$\text{Aut}(X, \sigma) < \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)}, +\mathbf{1}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)}.$$

A $g \in G$ is a **torsion element** if $g^n = 1_G$ for some integer n .

Lemma

The torsion group of $\mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)}$ is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_p \mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z}$, where the sum is taken over all the prime numbers p such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_p(p_n) = k$ is positive and finite.

If X is a Toeplitz subshift, any f.g. torsion subgroup is cyclic.

Corollary

For a Toeplitz subshift (X, σ) .

$$\text{Aut}(X, \sigma) < \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)}, +\mathbf{1}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)}.$$

A $g \in G$ is a **torsion element** if $g^n = 1_G$ for some integer n .

Lemma

The torsion group of $\mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)}$ is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_p \mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z}$, where the sum is taken over all the prime numbers p such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_p(p_n) = k$ is positive and finite.

If X is a Toeplitz subshift, any f.g. torsion subgroup is cyclic.

If X has periods $(p_n) = (p^n)$ for some prime p .

Then $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)$ has no torsion element.

Corollary

For a Toeplitz subshift (X, σ) .

$$\text{Aut}(X, \sigma) \subset \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)}, +\mathbf{1}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)}.$$

Corollary

If X is a Toeplitz subshift $\liminf_n p_X(n)/n < +\infty$, then

$$\text{Aut}(X, \sigma) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \text{ or } \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z},$$

for some N .

See [Coven, Quas, Yassawi \(2016\)](#).

Examples of Toeplitz subshifts with:

- complexity $O(n^{1+\epsilon})$ and $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)$ not f.g.

Salo, DDMP

Examples of Toeplitz subshifts with:

- complexity $O(n^{1+\epsilon})$ and $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)$ not f.g.
- positive entropy and $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma) = \langle \sigma \rangle$.

Salo, DDMP

Bulatek, Kwiatkowski, Downarowicz, 90's

Examples of Toeplitz subshifts with:

- complexity $O(n^{1+\epsilon})$ and $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)$ not f.g.

Salo, DDMP

- positive entropy and $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma) = \langle \sigma \rangle$.

Bulatek, Kwiatkowski, Downarowicz, 90's

- positive entropy and $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma) = \langle \sigma \rangle \oplus G$ for an arbitrarily f.g. abelian group G .

DDMP

Open pb: realize any countable subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)}$ as $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)$?

- T. DOWNAROWICZ, *Survey of odometers and Toeplitz flows in Algebraic and topological dynamics*, Contemp. Math. (2005)
- V. CYR, B. KRA, *The automorphism group of a shift of linear growth*, Forum of Mathematics, Sigma (2015)
- S. DONOSO , F. DURAND, A. MAASS, S. PETITE, *On automorphism groups of low complexity subshifts*, Ergodic Theory and Dynam. Systems (2016)
- S. DONOSO , F. DURAND, A. MAASS, S. PETITE, *On automorphism groups of Toeplitz subshifts*, Discrete Analysis (2017)
- S. WILLIAMS, *Toeplitz minimal flows which are not uniquely ergodic*, Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete (1984)