

On a variant of the Kakeya problem in \mathbb{R}

Yu-long Deng, Caihong Hu, Shunchao Long, Tai-Man Tang,
Jörg Thuswaldner and Lifeng Xi

Abstract. We prove that the sharp lower bounds of the Minkowski and Hausdorff dimensions of circular Kakeya sets in \mathbb{R} are $1/2$ and 0 respectively.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). Primary 28A80.

Keywords. circular Kakeya set; Hausdorff dimension; Minkowski dimension.

1. Introduction

A circular Kakeya set in \mathbb{R}^d is a closed set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ of measure zero that contains a $(d - 1)$ -sphere of every radius in a nondegenerate interval of \mathbb{R} . Besicovitch and Rado [1] and Kinney [8] have constructed such sets in \mathbb{R}^2 . The Besicovitch-Rado construction also works in \mathbb{R}^d for $d \geq 3$. See [9] for an exposition of this construction. Similar to the original Kakeya problem on the minimal dimension of a measure zero set containing a unit segment in every direction [4], a problem is to determine the minimal dimension of circular Kakeya sets, called the circular Kakeya problem in this paper. Kolasa and Wolff [9] show that circular Kakeya sets in \mathbb{R}^d with $d \geq 3$ has Hausdorff dimension d while a circular Kakeya set in \mathbb{R}^2 has Hausdorff dimension at least $11/6$. The last result has been improved to full dimension by Wolff in [11].

We observe that in contrary to the original Kakeya problem, the circular Kakeya problem make sense for $d = 1$ and apparently has not been answered. Let \mathbb{S}^d be a d -dimensional sphere and \mathbb{S}_r^d denotes a d -dimensional sphere of radius r . If the center m of the sphere is relevant, we write $\mathbb{S}_r^d(m)$. Notice that a sphere \mathbb{S}_r^0 is a set of two points of distance $2r$ apart. Hence a circular Kakeya set in \mathbb{R} is a closed set E of measure zero with its distance set $D(E) = \{|x - y| : x, y \in E\}$ containing an interval (or equivalently, its difference set $\Delta(E) = E - E$ containing an interval).

One can ask whether circular Keakeya sets in \mathbb{R} exists, and if so determine their minimal Minkowski and Hausdorff dimensions. Research on this problem has appeared in the form of difference sets. It is well-known that that middle-third Cantor set C has difference set $\Delta(C) = [-1, 1]$ (see e.g. [7, p.87]). Therefore C is a circular Keakeya set in \mathbb{R} of Hausdorff and Minkowski dimension $\log 2/\log 3$. This implies that the minimal dimension of such sets in \mathbb{R} is less than one, different from the \mathbb{R}^d cases for $d \geq 2$. There are conditions on independent copies of certain random Cantor sets [2, 3, 6] and dynamically generated Cantor sets [10] that results in their difference set containing an interval. However, apparently the minimal dimension of circular Keakeya sets in \mathbb{R} has not been discussed. Our main results are as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let E be a circular Keakeya set in \mathbb{R} such that its Minkowski dimension $\dim_B E$ exists. Then $\dim_B E \geq 1/2$. This lower bound is sharp.*

Theorem 1.2. *There is a circular Keakeya set F in \mathbb{R} with Hausdorff dimension $\dim_H F = 0$.*

We prove Theorem 1.1 in Section 2, proving the sharpness of the lower bound by explicitly constructing a circular Keakeya set of Minkowski dimension $1/2$. Theorem 1.2 is deduced from a classical result in fractal geometry in Section 3.

2. The Minkowski dimension of circular Keakeya sets

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We first show that $\dim_B E \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Since the surjective $f : E \times E \rightarrow D(E)$ given by $f(x, y) := |x - y|$ is Lipschitz, a basic property of Minkowski dimension (see e.g. [5, p.44]) implies that

$$\dim_B E + \dim_B E = \dim_B(E \times E) \geq \dim_B D(E) = 1,$$

giving $1/2$ as a lower bound of the Minkowski dimension.

We prove the sharpness of this bound by explicitly constructing a circular Keakeya set in \mathbb{R} with Minkowski dimension $1/2$ using the Besicovitch-Rado construction. We will construct a closed set E of measure zero containing an S_r^0 for every $r \in [1/4, 1/2]$.

Let $P = 1/2$. Start with $E_1 = [0, \frac{1}{4}] \cup [\frac{3}{4}, 1]$, which contains a sphere $\mathbb{S}_r^0(P)$ of every radius $r \in [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}]$. Divide it into two bunches of circles, the outer bunch $[0, \frac{1}{8}] \cup [\frac{7}{8}, 1]$ and the inner bunch $[\frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{4}] \cup [\frac{3}{4}, \frac{7}{8}]$, and shift the later to the left by $\frac{1}{8}$. The resulting set is $E_2 = [0, \frac{1}{8}] \cup [\frac{5}{8}, \frac{3}{4}] \cup [\frac{7}{8}, 1]$, still containing a circle of every radius in $[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}]$. In the next step, divide each of the two bunches into the inner and outer halves, and shift each of the the inner halves to the right by $\frac{1}{16}$ and get $E_3 = [0, \frac{1}{16}] \cup [\frac{1}{8}, \frac{3}{16}] \cup [\frac{11}{16}, \frac{3}{4}] \cup [\frac{15}{16}, 1]$. Repeat this process, shifting to the left and right alternatingly, shifting a suitable half of E_n by $2^{-(n+2)}$ to get E_{n+1} , $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Precisely, E_n consists of intervals of length $2^{-(n+1)}$. For $n = 2k - 1$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, E_{2k-1} has 2^{k-1} intervals to

the left of P and a same number of intervals to the right, namely

$$2^{-2k} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \varepsilon_i 2^{2i-1}, \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \varepsilon_i 2^{2i-1} + 1 \right] \quad \text{and} \quad (2.1)$$

$$2^{-2k} \left[2^{2k} - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \varepsilon_i 2^{2i} - 1, 2^{2k} - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \varepsilon_i 2^{2i} \right] \quad (2.2)$$

respectively, where $\varepsilon_i \in \{0, 1\}$ for $i = 1, \dots, k-1$, and the empty sum for $k = 1$ is interpreted as 0. For $n = 2k$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, E_{2k} has 2^{k-1} intervals to the left of P and 2^k to the right, namely

$$2^{-(2k+1)} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \varepsilon_i 2^{2i}, \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \varepsilon_i 2^{2i} + 1 \right] \quad \text{and}$$

$$2^{-(2k+1)} \left[2^{2k+1} - \sum_{i=1}^k \varepsilon_i 2^{2i-1} - 1, 2^{2k+1} - \sum_{i=1}^k \varepsilon_i 2^{2i-1} \right]$$

respectively, where the $\varepsilon_i \in \{0, 1\}$. This can be proved by induction on n . Notice that $E_{n+2} \subset E_n \cup E_{n+1}$ and each E_n contains an \mathbb{S}_r^0 of every radius $r \in [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}]$.

Let E be the limit set of $\{E_n\}$, by definition the set consisting of all points p for which there is a sequence $\{p_n\}$ converging to it, $p_n \in E_n$. From a simple limit argument, E contains an \mathbb{S}_r^0 for every $r \in [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}]$. To see that E has measure 0, construct a sequence of coverings of E as follows. As $E_{n+2} \subset E_n \cup E_{n+1}$, $E \subset E_n \cup E_{n+1}$ for every n and it suffices to construct coverings \mathcal{C}_n for $E_n \cup E_{n+1}$. For $n = 2k-1$, let \mathcal{C}_{2k-1} consists of $3 \cdot 2^{k-1}$ intervals of length $2^{-(n+1)}$, including those making up E_{2k-1} in (2.1)-(2.2) and in addition the intervals of the same length (for simplicity) immediately to the left of those in (2.2), namely,

$$2^{-2k} \left[2^{2k} - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \varepsilon_i 2^{2i} - 2, 2^{2k} - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \varepsilon_i 2^{2i} - 1 \right] \quad (2.3)$$

with ε_i as specified above. The size of \mathcal{C}_{2k-1} is $(3 \cdot 2^{k-1})(2^{-2k})$, which gets arbitrarily small as k increases. Hence E is of measure 0.

It remains to show that the Minkowski dimension $\dim_B E$ is $1/2$. We estimate the upper and lower Minkowski dimensions $\overline{\dim}_B E$ and $\underline{\dim}_B E$ as follows (see e.g. [5, p.43, e.g. 3.3]). Let $N_\delta(E)$ be the smallest number of sets of diameter at most δ which can cover E . Recall from the last paragraph that $E \subset E_{2k-1} \cup E_{2k}$ can be covered by $3 \cdot 2^{k-1}$ intervals of length 2^{-2k} . Then for $\delta \in (2^{-2k}, 2^{-2k+2}]$, $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, $N_\delta(E) \leq 3 \cdot 2^{k-1}$. Notice that we already get an upper estimate of $N_\delta(E)$ for every $\delta \in (0, 1]$ without considering the n even cases. Hence

$$\overline{\dim}_B E = \limsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log N_\delta(E)}{-\log \delta} \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(3 \cdot 2^{k-1})}{-\log 2^{-2k+2}} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Again for odd $n = 2k - 1$, consider the collection of intervals contained in those in (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3) but of half their sizes, namely

$$2^{-2k} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \varepsilon_i 2^{2i-1}, \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \varepsilon_i 2^{2i-1} + \frac{1}{2} \right], \quad (2.4)$$

$$2^{-2k} \left[2^{2k} - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \varepsilon_i 2^{2i} - \frac{1}{2}, 2^{2k} - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \varepsilon_i 2^{2i} \right] \quad \text{and} \quad (2.5)$$

$$2^{-2k} \left[2^{2k} - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \varepsilon_i 2^{2i} - \frac{3}{2}, 2^{2k} - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \varepsilon_i 2^{2i} - 1 \right]. \quad (2.6)$$

Notice that there are $3 \cdot 2^{k-1}$ of them, any two of them are at least 2^{-2k-1} apart and each of them contains at least a point in E : the left end points of those in (2.4) and the right end points of those in (2.5) and (2.6) are in E . It follows that for $\delta \in [2^{-2k-3}, 2^{-2k-1})$, a set of diameter at most δ can intersect at most one of these intervals. Therefore $N_\delta(E) \geq 3 \cdot 2^{k-1}$. Hence

$$\underline{\dim}_B E = \liminf_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log N_\delta(E)}{-\log \delta} \geq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(3 \cdot 2^{k-1})}{-\log 2^{-2k-3}} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Hence $\text{diam}_B E = 1/2$. The theorem is proved. \square

3. The Hausdorff dimension of circular sets

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Recall that there are sets $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}$ with $\dim_H A = \dim_H B = 0$ such that $A - B = (0, 1)$ (see for example [5, p. 97]). We include the details for completeness. Precisely, let $\{m_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ be a rapidly increasing sequence of integers, to be specified precisely soon, with $m_0 = 0$. Let A be the set of numbers in $(0, 1)$ with its r -th decimal place equals 0 whenever $m_k + 1 \leq r \leq m_{k+1}$, k even. Let B be the *negative* of a similar set with k odd. For $k > 0$ even, let $j_k = (m_2 - m_1) + \cdots + (m_k - m_{k-1})$ and for k odd, let $j_k = m_1 + (m_3 - m_2) + \cdots + (m_k - m_{k-1})$. Then each of A and B can be covered by 10^{j_k} intervals of length $10^{-m_{k+1}}$. Now choose m_k increasing so fast that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \log 10^{j_k} / -\log 10^{-m_{k+1}} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} j_k / m_{k+1} = 0.$$

Hence $\dim_H A \leq \underline{\dim}_B A = 0$ and $\dim_H B \leq \underline{\dim}_B B = 0$. Also, $A - B$ contains $(0, 1)$.

Let $F = A \cup B$. Then $\dim_H F = 0$ and $F - F$ contains $(0, 1)$, proving Theorem 1.2. \square

Remark 3.1. This does not contradict Theorem 1.1 as the box dimension of F is not well-defined. This fact can also be deduced from the two theorems.

References

- [1] A. S. Besicovitch and R. Rado, A plane set of measure zero containing circumferences of every circle, *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)* **43** (1968), 717–719.
- [2] M. Dekking and K. Simon, On the size of the algebraic difference of two random Cantor sets, *Random Structures Algorithms* **32** (2008), 205–222.
- [3] M. Dekking, K. Simon and B. Szekely, The algebraic difference of two random Cantor sets: the Larsson family, *Ann. Probab.* **39** (2011), 549–586.
- [4] K. J. Falconer, *The Geometry of Fractal Sets*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1985.
- [5] K. J. Falconer, *Fractal Geometry*, John Wiley and Sons, Chichester, New York, Brisbane, Toronto, Singapore, 1990.
- [6] P. Larsson, The difference set of two Cantor sets, Ph.D. thesis, U.U.D.M. Report 1991:11, Uppsala Univ., Uppsala, Sweden.
- [7] B. R. Gelbaum and M. H. Olmsted, *Counterexamples in Analysis*, Holden-Day, San Francisco, 1964.
- [8] J. R. Kinney, A thin sets of circles, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **75** (1968), 1077-1081.
- [9] L. Kolasa and T. Wolff, On some variants of the Kakeya problem, *Pacific J. Math.* **190** (1999), 111-154.
- [10] C. G. T. de A. Moreira and J.-C. Yoccoz, Stable intersections of regular Cantor sets with large Hausdorff dimensions, *Ann. of Math. (2)*, **154** (2001), 45–96, 2001.
- [11] T. Wolff, A Kakeya-type problem for circles, *Amer. J. Math.* **119** (1997), 985-1026.

Yu-long Deng

Institute of Computational Mathematics, Department of Mathematics,
Hunan University of Science and Engineering, Yongzhou, Hunan 425199, P. R.
China

e-mail: yuldeng@163.com

Caihong Hu

Zhangjiajie College, Jishou University, Zhangjiajie, Hunan 427000, P. R. China

e-mail: hchas226@sohu.com

Shunchao Long

School of Mathematics and Computational Sciences,
Xiangtan University, Xiangtan, Hunan 411105, P. R. China

e-mail: sclong@xtu.edu.cn

Tai-Man Tang

School of Mathematics and Computational Sciences,
Xiangtan University, Xiangtan, Hunan 411105, P. R. China

e-mail: taimantang@gmail.com; tmtang@xtu.edu.cn

Jörg Thuswaldner

Institut für Mathematik und Informationstechnologie, Montanuniversität Leoben,
A-8700 Leoben, Austria

e-mail: joerg.thuswaldner@mu-leoben.at

Lifeng Xi

Institute of Mathematics, Zhejiang Wanli University, Ningbo 315100, P. R. China

e-mail: xilf@zwu.edu.cn